

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/778,467 | 02/07/2001 | Ofer Shem Tov | VOCL 17.031 | 5909 |
| 26304 | 7590 09/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE | | | SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A | |
| NEW YORK | - · · · · - · · - · | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2154 | ···.,= |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/778,467 | TOV ET AL. |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mohammad A. Siddiqi | 2154 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | | - |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of the statutory period for reply expire is the statutory period for reply expire is the statutory period for reply expire is the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | I36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | empliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| 5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> . | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended. | Il be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s). |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13.
Other: ____

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCENSES
TECHNOLOGY CENTUR STILL

Part of Paper No. 20050831

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Added limitation "guest being a different user of the Internet than the Internet subscriber" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.